STANDARDIZED ELECTROMYOGRAPHIC INDEXES ALLOW A RELIABLE MEASUREMENT OF MASTICATORY MUSCLES FUNCTION
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Surface electromyography (sEMG) allows the quantitative and qualitative analysis of muscles recruitment and it is a method currently used in research and in the clinical practice. Considering that sEMG assesses the portion of muscular electrical activity that reach cutaneous surface, a well-standardized protocol should be used, allowing to remove (or reduce) technical and biological artefacts.

Methodology: To evaluate the repeatability of the normalized indexes describing masticatory muscles sEMG, the standardized cutaneous myoelectric activity of Temporalis Anterior and Masseter muscles was recorded twice with a one week interval (Acquisition sessions T1 and T2) in 20 young healthy adults. Data obtained during T1 and T2 were compared. A clinical case was showed as example of clinical sEMG application.

Results: No significant differences in the standardized indexes elaborated by sEMG signals of T1 and T2 acquisition sessions were found, strengthening the effectiveness of the standardization procedure.

Conclusion: sEMG indexes allow to evaluate occlusal-induced proprioceptive mediated muscular recruitment in a reliable way. This measurement protocol can be clinically applied to estimate the muscular adaption to new occlusal conditions or to re-establish physiological muscular coordination.
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1. Introduction

Every day the stomatognathic apparatus constantly performs many physiologic activities, such as speech, mastication, suction, deglutition. The muscles responsible of mandibular, tongue, hyoid, soft palate, lips movements generate mechanical forces that are dissipated by the hard tissues (bones, temporomandibular joint, teeth). In all these functions several muscle groups are involved and coordinated by the central nervous system under the influence of peripheral inputs. Surface electromyography (sEMG) is a low-cost, non-invasive method usable in research and in dental clinical practice for the quantitative and qualitative analysis of head and neck muscles. As sEMG does not directly assess the muscular fibers, a well-standardized protocol should be used, allowing to remove (or reduce) technical and biological artefacts. Indeed, the thickness of the hypodermis, crosstalk from different muscles, the instrumental noise and the position of the electrodes relative to the muscle fibers and the motor point can influence the sEMG signal. Taking into account these technical features, a correct sEMG assessment should be performed only with a reproducible protocol, and with standardized/normalized potentials to remove most of biological and technical noise. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the repeatability
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2. Methodology

2.1 Study design

Standardized cutaneous myoelectric activity of Temporalis Anterior and Masseter muscles was acquired twice with a one-week interval (Acquisition sessions T1 and T2) in 20 young healthy adults. Data obtained during T1 and T2 were compared.

2.2 Subjects

Twenty young healthy adult (5 males, 15 females, age range 24-28 years, mean 26, SD 2), volunteers were recruited for the study after a detailed explanation of the experimental protocol and possible risks involved. They all gave consent to the investigation according to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the local ethic committee. All data collections were performed in the clinic of Dental Faculty of North-Western State Medical University named after I.I. Mechnikov (Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation).

The subjects were visited by a dentist (SE); all the subjects were in general good health, free from stomatognathic apparatus and neck pathologies and were selected for the study according to the following inclusion criteria: a minimum of 28 permanent teeth, no parodontal problems, no craniofacial and cervical trauma and surgery, no temporo-mandibular and craniocervical disorders, no current orthodontic treatment and no painful sensation when clenching their teeth. The subjects were excluded from the study if they had neurological problems that could interfere with the experimental procedure, or if they were taking drugs that could affect the musculoskeletal system, such as anti-inflammatory or pain relief drugs. During the sEMG recording, the environment was quiet and with low light. The subjects sat in a comfortable office type chair, with erect posture with the feet flat on the floor, and with arms resting on their legs.

2.3 Electrode type and positioning

The Masseter (MM) and Temporalis Anterior (TA) muscles of both sides (left and right) were
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2.3 Electrode type and positioning

The Masseter (MM) and Temporalis Anterior (TA) muscles of both sides (left and right) were
examined. Disposable pre-gelled silver/silver chloride bipolar surface electrodes (rectangular shape, 21x41 mm, 20 mm inter-electrode distance) (F3010, Fiab, Firenze, Italy) were positioned. The electrodes were placed on the muscular bellies parallel to muscular fibres as follows (Fig 1): MM: the operator, standing in front of the seated subject, palpated the muscular belly while the subject clenched his/her teeth. The electrodes were fixed parallel to the exocanthion-gonion line and with the upper pole of the electrode under the tragus-labial commissura line.

TA: the muscular belly was palpated during tooth clenching and the electrodes were fixed vertically along the anterior margin of the muscle (corresponding to the fronto-parietal suture). A disposable reference electrode was applied to the forehead. To reduce skin impedance, the skin was carefully cleaned prior to electrode placement, and recordings were performed 5 min later, allowing the conductive paste to adequately moisten the skin.

2.4 sEMG recordings and measurements Instrumentation
Surface EMG activity was recorded using a computerized instrument (Easymyo, 3 Technology S.r.l., Udine, Italy). The analogic sEMG signal was amplified (gain 100, bandwidth 0–1000 Hz, peak-to-peak input range from 0 to 3600 µV) using a differential amplifier with a high common mode rejection ratio (CMRR=115 dB in the range 0–60 Hz, input impedance 100 Ω), digitized (24-bit resolution, 4000 Hz A/D sampling frequency), and digitally filtered (Butterworth type, high-pass filter set at 30 Hz, low-pass filter set at 400 Hz, band-stop for common 50–60 Hz noise). The signals were averaged over 25 ms, with muscle activity assessed as the root mean square (RMS) of the amplitude (µV). sEMG signals were recorded for further analysis. Before the acquisition session the subjects were properly trained to elicit true teeth maximal voluntary contraction using an on-time sEMG signal visualization.

### Table 1. Comparison of standardized sEMG indexes obtained in two different data collection sessions (all values are percentages). No statistically significant differences were found.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Masticatory sEMG standardized indexes repeatability</th>
<th>POC TA</th>
<th>POC MM</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Torque</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Session 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>114.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>113.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTest</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results
3.1 Standardization procedure
To normalize sEMG signals two 10-mm thick cotton rolls were positioned on the mandibular second premolars/first molars of each subject and a 5-s maximum voluntary contraction (MVC) was recorded. The mean sEMG potential of each muscle obtained in that first acquisition was set at 100%, and all further sEMG potentials were expressed as a percentage of this value (µV/µVx100).

3.2 Analyzed task
sEMG activity was recorded during a 5-s MVC test in intercuspal position (IP): the subject was invited to clench as hard as possible and to maintain the same level of contraction for all the test. For each patient, the central 3 s of the MVC test were analysed, and the sEMG potential was standardized as detailed before.

3.3 sEMG data analysis
Separately for each acquisition session (T1 and T2), sEMG waves were compared by computing a series of standardized indexes using the instrument software tools:
- the percentage overlapping coefficient (POC, unit %), an index of symmetric muscular contraction. The index ranges between 0% and 100%: when two paired muscles contract with perfect symmetry, a POC of 100% is obtained. Masseter and Temporalis Anterior POCs were obtained for each patient.
- the torque coefficient (TORQUE, unit %) was assessed to evaluate if an unbalanced contractile activity of contralateral Masseter and Temporalis Anterior muscles, such as that of right Temporalis Anterior and left Masseter, might give rise to a potential lateral displacing component. TORQUE ranges between 100% (complete prevalence of right Temporalis Anterior and left Masseter) and -100% (complete prevalence of left Temporalis Anterior and right Masseter).
- the activity index (ATTIV, unit %), was obtained as the percentage ratio of the difference between the
mean Masseter and Temporalis Anterior muscles standardized potentials and the sum of the same standardized potentials, to individuate the most prevalent pair of masticatory muscles. The index is positive (up to 100%) if the Masseter muscles standardized potentials are larger than those of the Temporalis Anterior muscles, negative (up to 100%) if the Temporalis Anterior muscle potentials are larger.26

- the standardized activity index (IMPACT, unit %) was calculated to quantify the total muscular activity performed during MVC relative to the standardization clenching on cotton rolls; IMPACT was estimated computing the mean (Masseter and Temporalis Anterior) total muscle activities as the integrated areas of the sEMG potentials over time.26

3.4 Statistical evaluation

To quantify the acquisition session effect on the sEMG standardized indexes, the values obtained from T1 and T2 were compared. Normality data distribution was assessed using Shapiro-Wilk test. Paired Student’s T test (p < 0.05) was used to evaluate the systematic error between the two muscular measurements.

Table 1 shows the mean values and standard deviation of the standardized indexes obtained in the two data acquisition sessions, together with the mean difference in individual values. Paired Student’s T tests found no statistically significant differences.

4. Discussion

The surface electromyogram comprises the sum of the electrical contributions produced by the active motor units as detected by cutaneous electrodes. Several parameters could be analysed in the captured electrical signal. Discharge rates, signal amplitude, spectral analysis could be investigated to estimate the neural control strategies involved in the muscles management.27 These instrumental assessments should be performed applying the correct protocols; in fact, the myoelectric activity signal may vary due to many factors such as change in the electrode location, change in tissue properties, tissue temperature, muscle resting length, velocity of contraction and fiber type.27-30

In the last 30 years the effect of electrodes position on conduction velocity estimation (CV), amplitude and spectral variables of the surface EMG has been addressed in a number of methodological and clinical publications taking into account muscles (or groups of muscles) ranging from the masticatory muscles to the muscles of the shoulder, of the arm and leg too.21 Changing the electrode location through innervation zones to tendons affect the detected activity: signal spectrum shifts towards higher frequencies, the amplitude decreases and the conduction velocity is biased towards higher values.27 Moreover we have to underline that surface electrodes, in many cases,
capture electrical signals generated from several muscles -crosstalk effect- preventing a single muscle measurement. In facial cutaneous areas, the mimic muscles and sometimes anatomical variability, could complicate the univocal sEMG signal-muscle matching (as previously reported platysma to masseter partial coverage).\textsuperscript{32} Indeed it’s well known as some muscles functional compartmentalization due to anatomical and innervation complexity thwarts a simple function assessment; in particular Temporalis muscles is a composite morphologic-functional entity not yet fully understood.\textsuperscript{33,34} In any cases, taking into account the technical complications, appears reasonable to apply sEMG analysis to understand the functional areas central management instead of focusing on single muscle function measurement.

Notwithstanding the amount of experimental and theoretical studies, no global consensus was achieved in the sEMG raw signal interpretation. For this reason, studies that could increase the understanding of sEMG advantages and limits in order to allow a more aware clinical application are required.

The most revealing standardization effort occurred in 1997–1999 within the European Project on "Surface EMG for Non Invasive Assessment of Muscles" where a fine analysis was presented for a number of sEMG acquisition technical factors.\textsuperscript{(35,36). In spite of all, comparing several EMG studies published in the year 2004, Armijo-Olivo et al. stated that 60% of the analysed studies did not report a normalization procedure, making comparisons of the EMG signal difficult due to anthropomorphic differences between recording sites and individuals.\textsuperscript{37} They underlined that comparison of muscle function evaluating the absolute values of the recorded potentials (that is, microvolt values) provides inaccurate results. As a consequence of this inaccuracy, comparing the EMG activities between subjects and under different conditions requires a normalization process.

The efficacy of intra-subjects standardization procedure was investigated also for the jaw stretch reflex. In fact, Koutris et al. demonstrated that when the amplitude of the masseter muscle during the jaw stretch reflex is normalized relative to the prestimulus EMG amplitude, it becomes independent from the location of the electrodes over the muscle.\textsuperscript{38} In the present study, the repeatability of standardized (normalized) indexes calculated in independent sEMG acquisitions was evaluated. Indeed, as suggested by Ferrario et al., sEMG could be usefully employed for the control of the muscular modifications induced by variations in the occlusal conditions, either natural (for instance, different occlusal classes, crossbite occlusion), or artificial (for instance, dental prostheses, fillings, or even orthodontic treatment).\textsuperscript{26,39} The use of sEMG can help in the correct construction of oral devices.\textsuperscript{40} To simplify the understanding of occlusal induced muscular modifications, easy-to-interpret indexes could be helpful in the clinical device/prosthesis-adapting procedures. In order to perform a correct evaluation of these indexes in the everyday clinical practice, their reliability should be accurately understood.

No significant differences in the standardized indexes elaborated from sEMG signals of T1 and T2 acquisition sessions were found, strengthening the effectiveness of the standardization procedure. The small sample analysed in the present study does not allow to evaluate the values in an epidemiologic perspective. The average POC of Temporalis Anterior and Masseter, obtained during this study, resulted slightly lower than the ones reported by De Felicio et al. and by Ferrario et al., probably due to differences in the selection of the sample.\textsuperscript{5,16,24} As regards the standardization of masticatory muscles sEMG signal, a unique agreement within the scientific community does not exist.\textsuperscript{25} Different sEMG studies have made use of the protocol and related indexes proposed by Ferrario et al. to evaluate the masticatory muscles.\textsuperscript{16,24,41,44} The only difference between two acquisitions (on cotton rolls and in IP) is dental contact, thus limiting the technical and biological noise inherent in this instrumental analysis. This way, through the estimate of standardized indexes, it is possible to evaluate the muscular reaction to dental proprioception. Other stimuli, that can influence the muscular activity in both tests (for instance, intramuscular pain), cannot always be identified evaluating the standardized indexes (supposing that they can influence the standardization task and the teeth clenching in the same way). For this reason, an effective dental occlusion instrumental evaluation applying sEMG protocol needs healthy supporting tissues (bones, teeth, periodontium).

Applying these clinical recommendations, the proposed standardization approach allows dental proprioception effect on functional areas management isolation, reducing the crosstalk and technical signal confounding factors relevance.

Anyway, instrumental information about muscular functional adaptation at dental contact can be useful for the classification of dental patients, and the functionalization of therapeutic procedures as removable and fixed prostheses. As an example, the patient L.D., a woman aged 26 years, needed rehabilitation of incongruous composite fillings (Fig. 2). An initial sEMG test could aid the Dentist to estimate if the reduced posterior vertical dimension, due to filling wear, can cause altered muscular performance. As showed in Figure 3, the initial sEMG test revealed that the pre-operative occlusal condition did not allow a maximal muscular activity.

Masseter POC was lower than 80% as the result of a no-synchronous and asymmetric Masseter recruitment. This performance alteration hesitated in a torque effect during maximal voluntary clenching (Torque index -20%). The Impact index
confirmed that the occlusal condition needed muscular adaptation (while clenching in maximal intercuspation, the muscles performed only 51% of electrical activity developed while clenching with cotton rolls). After a detailed explanation of clinical and instrumental conditions, the patient agreed to rehabilitate her first and second right mandibular molars with overlays, changing her right posterior occlusal support.

During the final impression taking appointment, a stiff flat resin jig was set on reduced tooth substance to slightly increase the posterior vertical dimension. A sEMG acquisition was made, and the patient was invited to perform two maximal voluntary clench: the first one with cotton rolls between dental arches and the second one wearing the jig (Fig. 4). Figure 5 shows that the torque effect reduced; muscular total work and Masseter coordination increased but did not reach physiologic values (Impact index from 51 to 71% and POC Masseter 78%). The jig was then slightly reduced and re-tested obtaining a muscular recruitment very similar to those recorded in healthy young subjects with sound dentition (Fig. 6). The new EMG tested mandibular position (wearing the modified jig) was used as occlusal posterior vertical index to produce the final indirect overlays. During the subsequent appointment, overlays were bonded in a dry setting and the function verified again acquiring muscle performance with the same standardized protocol. The new posterior occlusal morphology well integrated in the patient stomatognathic structures, and she had a good masticatory muscles coordination: her performance with the new dental surfaces well overlapped the “occlusion-free” reference test (clench on cotton rolls) (Fig. 7). The functional test was satisfactory so reconstructions were accurately polished (Fig. 8).

5. Conclusions

Standardized sEMG indexes allow to evaluate occlusal-induced proprioceptive mediated muscular recruitment in a reliable way. Merging biomechanical concepts with sEMG standardized indexes, occlusal devices and prosthesis adapting procedures could be clinically performed in order to reduce the muscular adaption to the new occlusal conditions or to re-establish physiological muscular coordination.
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Questions

Which factors could influence the surface electromyographic signal?

- a. the thickness of the hypodermis;
- b. the instrumental noise;
- c. the position of the electrodes relative to the muscle fibres;
- d. all the previous factors.

The Percentage Overlapping Coefficient:

- a. it measures the muscles force;
- b. it quantifies the symmetry of muscles contraction;
- c. it could be greater than 100%;
- d. it always is lower than 50%.

The Surface electromyography:

- a. it uses needles electrodes inserted into muscle belly;
- b. it is performed applying electrodes on cutaneous surface;
- c. it is painful;
- d. it is invasive.

The Raw electromyographic signal, standardized on clenching with cotton rolls, allows:

- a. the muscle pain measurement;
- b. the dental proprioception related muscle reaction measurement;
- c. the muscle force evaluation;
- d. the TMJ position analysis.