MANIFESTATION OF SLEEP BRUXISM ACCORDING TO THE AGE OF PATIENTS
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Bruxism is a widespread condition, affecting up to 85-90% of the general population, and in 5% of these individuals, the grinding evolves into a clinical condition. In many cases, sleep bruxism is latent, the disorder may be identified by its consequences (dental wear, etc.). This ascertains the need to develop diagnostic methods that may be able to identify bruxism at the initial stages of the disorder.

Aim of the study: to determine the peculiarities of the clinical manifestation of primary sleep bruxism (SB) based on the age of the patients.

Methodology: One hundred patients with primary SB (70 patients aged between 18-35 and 30 patients aged between 35-50) were investigated. The clinical features of SB (algic syndrome, myogenic disorders, temporomandibular disorders, dental wear, psychoemotional disorders, sleep quality alterations) were also investigated.

Results: The expression of emotional stress in patients of various ages was almost identical. The highest clenching frequency and duration, as well as a higher clinical expression of bruxism was observed in patients under 35. The components of the algic syndrome show a varied expression for different age groups – patients under 35 had more severe disorders of the nocturnal episodes and myogenic-spastic events; older patients (35-50) were characterized by a prevalence of local and diffuse myogenic structural disorders, arthrogenic pain and severe sleep disturbances.

Conclusion: Electromyography and ultrasonography can reveal important morpho-functional peculiarities of the masticatory muscles that are associated with the severity of SB in patients from different age groups.
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1. Introduction

Bruxism is a parafunctional activity, characterized by repetitive jaw-muscle clenches, tooth grinding, bracing/thrusting of the jaw, occurring either during sleep (SB – sleep bruxism) or during wakefulness (AB – awake bruxism) [1]. Bruxism is a widespread condition – around 85-90% of the general population grinds their teeth in certain periods of their life, and in 5% of these people, the grinding evolves into a clinical condition [2,3]. The prevalence of bruxism varies greatly from 5% to 96%, which highlights that a varied range of criteria and methods for diagnosing this condition are being used [5,6]. An important aspect to this problem is the age of the patients. The highest frequency of bruxism is observed in the age group between 19-44, without any essential gender-based differences [7]. Bruxism may be observed in children starting approximately from the age of one [8] and it occurs in 7-15% of cases [9]. The condition has its onset during childhood in 6.4% of cases, during school years in 2.5% of cases and during the period of professional activity in 26.8% of cases [10]. The prevalence of bruxism in children varies from 6.5% to 28% in various countries [11,12]. The authors, based on the analysis of various scientific literature data, have established a linear trend of decrease in the prevalence of bruxism in relation to age: 19% at the age of 3-10, 13% in adolescents and youngsters, 3% in adults after the age of 60 [11]. Some researchers consider that bruxism first identified in childhood may continue to persist with aging [13], others have established that bruxism diminishes and disappears during adulthood [14]. The incidence of bruxism in 18-29 year-olds is 18.9% – awake bruxism in 10.4% of cases, sleep bruxism in 2.4% of cases and mixed bruxism in 6.1% of cases. It has been established that patients aged between 20-29 show signs of bruxism most frequently (41.8%) [15]. An analysis of 2,000 people showed that bruxism is present in 18-year-olds in 13% of cases, and after 65 years of age in only 3% at an approximately equal frequency in men and women [16]. After the age of 65, the prevalence of bruxism is about 10% with a dramatic decrease with aging. The prevalence of bruxism in individuals aged between 35-44 is twice as high as the prevalence in 18-year-olds, respectively 4.12 ± 0.79% vs. 2.73 ± 0.65%. Currently, there are no specific factors that are deemed responsible for the etiology of bruxism [4]. It is being assumed that one of the causes of the higher prevalence of night bruxism in young people is the presence of a higher level of anxiety and stress [11]. With aging, the microstructure of the masticatory muscles essentially changes; signs of osteoporosis that are associated with the changes in the masticatory...
2. Materials and methods

The study has been approved by the Committee of Research Ethics of the „Nicolae Testemițanu” State University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Chișinău, Republic of Moldova (minutes no. 37/04.04.2016).

One hundred patients with primary sleep bruxism were investigated (Table 1).

The diagnosis of SB was performed following the current international criteria, including: 1) medical history, 2) application of clinical questionnaires, 3) dental clinical examination (intraoral and extraoral), 4) EMG assessment for a period of several days, 5) recording of the SB nocturnal episodes for a period of several nights, 6) ultrasonographic examination of the masticatory muscles.

Table 1. The age of the patients with primary sleep bruxism according to their gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Researched groups</th>
<th>Mean age of the group, yrs.</th>
<th>Individuals under 35</th>
<th>Individuals over 35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole group</td>
<td>33.2 ± 1.15</td>
<td>26.6 ± 0.99</td>
<td>39.8 ± 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>30.4 ± 1.34</td>
<td>23.5 ± 1.02</td>
<td>37.3 ± 1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>36.0 ± 1.12</td>
<td>29.7 ± 1.25</td>
<td>42.3 ± 2.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inclusion criteria: a positive clinical diagnosis of primary sleep bruxism, confirmed by an objective recording of the nocturnal episodes; occlusal type – Angle Class I; age of patients between 18-50; presence of the patient’s consent to participate in the research; cooperating patients.

Exclusion criteria: age was not within the established limits; other clinical forms of bruxism (disorders of the nervous system – epilepsy, parkinsonism, etc.); the presence of anomalies and inflammatory signs in the stomatognathic system; the presence of signs of organic damage with the decomposition of the masticatory activity (EMG values during relaxation less than 30 µV); various acute and chronic diseases in the period of exacerbation, parasitosis; alcoholism, drug addiction, toxicomania, mental illness; ongoing treatment with psychotropic, anticonvulsant or miorelaxant drugs; lack of the patient consent for participating in the research; non-cooperating patients.

The study regarding the influence of stresogenic professions on the degree of sleep bruxism manifestations was performed according to the criteria proposed by Nishimura [21]. We have applied questionnaires for the quantitative assessment of SB manifestations [22-25]: clinical questionnaire, Fonseca questionnaire, multifactorial questionnaire for bruxism associated pain, sleep quality questionnaire. For the quantitative assessment of the emotional subjective feelings, we have used the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS).

The dental abrasion degree was assessed, according to the following score [22]: 0 – no abrasion; 1 – dental abrasion within enamel boundaries; 2 – dental abrasion with crown destruction less than one-third; 3 – dental abrasion with crown destruction more than one third. In order to record the nocturnal motor episode, we have applied the portable device SleepGuard SG5 (Hollistic Inc., USA). It is used for five consecutive nights in order to assess the average values of the motor indices: total clench time (TCT) during sleep and their number (TNC – total number of clenches).

We have determined the thickness of the masseter muscle following the recommendations in the scientific literature [18,19] by applying the Envisor C (Philips, Japan) equipment and a 7.5 MHz linear transducer. The masseter muscle thickness gradient (MTG) was calculated according to the formula:

$$MTG = \frac{Ga - Gr}{Ga} \times 100\%$$

where: Gr - masseter muscle thickness during relaxation (mm); Ga - masseter muscle thickness during maximum jaw engagement (mm).

We have determined the echo-structure of the masseter muscle by analyzing the local and diffuse echogenic features. Normally, the muscle is visualized as hyperechogenic strips parallel to the long axis of the muscle. Muscle fascicles have a connective tissue coating that creates an ultrasonographic picture of hyperechogenic bands. Any pathological change in muscles, fascia, and connective tissue manifests itself through local and/or diffuse modifications of echogenicity. The trigger points are manifested by the occurrence of local pathological echogenicity, and the pathological changes in the masseter muscle can be manifested through diffuse ecogenic zones that increase, consequent to the increase in muscle hypertrophy/hypotrophy, increase in the processes of fibrosis, etc. For the quantitative assessment of the echogenic features, we have determined the total score: normal echogenicity in the projection of the masseter muscle – 0 points; local pathological echogenicity – 1...
point; diffuse pathological echogenicity – 2 points.

The results obtained were processed using the Statistics for Windows v.11.0 (StatSoft Inc., USA) specialized software package for statistical analysis.

### 3. Results

In healthy people, highly stressful professions accounted for 30% of cases; VAS-stress expression of 3.80 ± 0.35 points. In patients with SB, from various age groups (18-50 years, n = 100), highly stressful occupations accounted for 24% of cases; VAS-stress – 5.71 ± 0.31 points. In SB patients under 35, high stress professions accounted for 21.4% of cases; VAS-stress – 5.99 ± 0.28 points. In SB patients over 35, highly stressful occupations accounted for 30% of cases; VAS-Stress – 5.43 ± 0.35 points.

This analysis revealed non-essential differences (p > 0.05) between healthy individuals and SB patients of various age. Statistical differences of VAS-stress scores among healthy individuals vs. patients with SB (n = 100) were significant (p < 0.01), and the differences between groups of patients with SB of various age were not significant (p > 0.05) with tendencies of more severe stress manifestation in patients under 35.

TNC indices in healthy individuals were 3.4 ± 0.95; in patients with SB (n = 100) – 50.4 ± 5.96; in patients with SB under 35 – 65.4 ± 5.26; in patients with SB over 35 – 35.4 ± 6.44. The highest frequency of clenching was observed in younger patients.

TCT indices in healthy subjects constituted 3.6 ± 0.68; in patients with SB (n = 100) – 86.5 ± 7.93; in patients with SB under 35 – 110.5 ± 8.22; in patients with SB over 35 – 61.5 ± 6.91. In a similar way, the highest clench duration was observed in younger patients.

The length and quality of night sleep were closely related to the intensity of the psycho-emotional state. Sleep duration in patients under 35 (18-35 years of age, n = 70) showed statistically significant differences in comparison to the values observed in older patients (35-50 years, n = 30), respectively: 7.9 ± 0.52 hours vs. 6.2 ± 0.41 hours (p < 0.05).

The quality of nighttime sleep in patients with SB, based on the self-assessment scores, was as follows (statistically significant differences between values observed in patients under 35 years of age in comparison to older patients):

- Normal sleep quality – 20 patients (28.6%)/4 patients (13.3%), p > 0.05;
- Borderline disorders – 38 patients (54.3%)/8 patients (26.7%), p < 0.01;
- Pathological sleep – 12 patients (17.1%)/18 patients (60.0%), p < 0.001.

The analysis of the clinical manifestations of SB, based on the clinical questionnaire has shown a higher value of the clinical SB expression in patients under 35, when compared to older patients (Table 2). The frequency of the positive responses, based on the SB questionnaire (which reflected the condition of the patients in the last 6 months) was the following (the percentages of clinical signs for patients under 35 are indicated in a decreasing order, as compared to data from older patients):

- Tooth grinding during sleep, observed by life partners – 100% vs. 100%.
- Tooth grinding during sleep (self-assessed by patients) – 97.1% vs. 93.3% (p > 0.05).
- Pain and fatigue in masticatory muscles, after awakening – 60.0% vs. 36.7% (p < 0.05).
- Sensations of jaw tension or difficulties during mouth opening, after awakening – 54.3% vs. 30% (p < 0.05).
- Pain felt in the temple region, after awakening – 50.0% vs. 26.7% (p < 0.05).
- Teeth clenched together, after awakening – 44.3% vs. 23.3% (p < 0.05).
- Dental or gingival pain, after awakening – 22.9% vs. 10.0% (p > 0.05).
- Dental mobility, after awakening – 18.6% vs. 13.3% (p > 0.05).

In patients with SB under 35, ulcerations of the tongue were detected in 7.1% of cases vs. 6.7% in patients over 35.

### Table 2. Clinical manifestations of primary sleep bruxism vs. the age of patients vs. indices observed in healthy subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Healthy (n = 30)</th>
<th>Primary sleep bruxism</th>
<th>P_1-2</th>
<th>P_3-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entire group (n = 100)</td>
<td>Age ≤35 (n = 70)</td>
<td>Age &gt;35 (n = 30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruxism questionnaire, points</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.05</td>
<td>4.85 ± 0.28</td>
<td>5.41 ± 0.32</td>
<td>4.25 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain questionnaire, points</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.16</td>
<td>4.71 ± 0.25</td>
<td>5.09 ± 0.36</td>
<td>4.33 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fonseca questionnaire, points</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.39</td>
<td>52.02 ± 4.13</td>
<td>59.43 ± 4.42</td>
<td>44.61 ± 3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound, MTG index, %</td>
<td>25.0 ± 0.45</td>
<td>28.4 ± 0.52</td>
<td>25.5 ± 0.55</td>
<td>31.3 ± 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound, echogenicity, units</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental wear, units</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NS – no statistical significance.
Taking into account the fact that increased stress levels in younger individuals can be considered as a painful condition, the EMG activity contributes to the occurrence of many SB disorders [26] and that people with SB have a much higher level of stress-sensitivity [27], we have analyzed the level of emotional stress (VAS) and the professions of the patients, according to the stress level.

We have observed that the level of emotional stress is an important index that is fundamentally different in healthy people and in patients with SB, but this index does not reflect the differences regarding the age of the investigated subjects, and some tendencies of increased stress levels in younger individuals can be observed.

With aging, there is an increase in the quantitative and qualitative sleep disruptions. The interpretation of these data is difficult due to the fact that, as it has been established that in healthy people, there are significant changes in the sleep quality associated with aging, especially after the age of 30-35 – a longer period of time is needed to get asleep, sleep is far more fragmented, with more frequent awakening episodes, the duration is shorter. These features are particularly greatly increased in patients with SB.

4. Discussion

According to the proposed objectives, we have studied the clinical peculiarities of SB, according to the age of the patients – under 35 and older. This division was made based on multiple literature data that reflects that the period of 35-40 years of age is the one where the prevalence of bruxism shows a considerable reduction [12].

Taking into account the fact that increased stress activity during sleep [2]. Due to a massive nociceptive affinity from the structures of the stomatognathic
system towards the somatosensory cortex, there occur various reflex activities, due to modifications of muscle tone and onset of myogenous pain. However, the correlations between reflex activity and pain expression are not yet studied deeply. According to several research projects, there are no statistically significant differences in the EMG indices in patients, which feel pain in the masticatory muscles compared to those with no pain [12,29]. The authors state that this phenomenon is due to central neuronal adaptation mechanisms, modifications of the pain modulation mechanisms and because of central sensitization phenomena. 

It should be taken into account that various poorly expressed algic manifestations may be present for a short term in healthy individuals as well. It is to be noted that myogenous pain in younger patients is more pronounced than in older patients. This phenomenon was also observed by other researchers [8,9,12,30,31], who explain it through the processes of adaptation to chronic pain observed in older people. The results demonstrate that the psychoemotional factor (scale V), myogenous (scale II), circadian (scale VI), and pain duration (scale VIII) are quite pronounced in SB patients, that are either under or over 35. The pain pattern in patients under 35 may be characterized as a psycho-emotional-mygogenic-circadian pain and for the older patients as a psycho-emotional-myogenous-circadian pain. The data obtained confirm the results from the scientific literature – consequent to aging (until 61 years); an increase of the masseter muscle thickness can be observed [32].

There are several hypotheses regarding this problem: the increase of the masseter muscle thickness may occur as a result to muscle edema, fat accumulation, deficiency of protein metabolism, effects of psychoactive substances (alcohol, nicotine, etc.). Under the influence of previous inflammatory processes, the thickness of the muscle increases by approximately 4.3 mm [32].

Patients with SB show areas of muscle hypertonus (trigger zones) in which ultrasonographic examination shows changes in the echo-structure, left/right asymmetry and modification of the masseter muscle thickness. In patients with SB under 35, the presence of local pathological echogenicities is more frequent, which in most cases corresponds to the localization of the alagic areas during palpation of the masseter muscles. In patients with SB over 35, besides the local pathological echogenicity, quite frequently a diffuse pathological echogenicity was observed. In the scientific literature, these aspects are being debated – it has been established that during the first stages of the disorders of the masseter muscle activity, local hypo-echogenic areas appear, which afterwards, due to the aggravation of the disturbances, transform into diffuse hyperechogenic zones [18].

An important dental index for SB is the presence of dental abrasion. Surprisingly, there was no statistically significant correlations observed between the EMG activity of the muscles and the degree of dental abrasion [33]. Some researchers consider that currently, there is an overemphasis on SB as a causative factor in tooth wear [4]. No valid correlations were found between dental wear and the age of patients, the occlusal factors and the degree of temporomandibular joint dysfunction. These data demonstrate that wear is associated with various factors, including cerebral factors. Moreover, a specific study has not revealed any statistically significant differences in the bioelectric activity of masticatory muscles during sleep between patients with and without signs of dental wear [31]. While assessing dental abrasion as a diagnostic sign of SB, it is necessary to highlight other etiopathogenic factors; dental abrasion is not the main criterion in the diagnosis of SB, because it can occur in other pathologies as well (acid reflux, long-term consumption of acidic juices, etc.) [30,31].

In summary, the following SB manifestations occur with a higher severity in patients under 35 years of age (statistically significant), when compared to older patients – p < 0.05:

• clinical signs of bruxism, assessed by means of the clinical questionnaire (p < 0.05);
• dysfunction of the temporomandibular joint, assessed by means of Fonseca questionnaire (p < 0.05),
• total number of clenches (TNC) (p < 0.001),
• total duration of clenches (TCT) (p < 0.001).

The following SB manifestations occur with a higher severity in patients over 35 years of age (statistically significant), when compared to younger patients – p < 0.05:

• pathological thickness of the masseter muscle (MM), determined by ultrasound (p < 0.001),
• pathological echogenicity of the MM, determined by ultrasound (p < 0.01),
• shorter sleep duration (p < 0.05), pathological quality of sleep (p < 0.001).

The results obtained show that there are important peculiarities of the clinical manifestations of primary sleep bruxism according to the age of the patients, which can be detected by the application of clinical and paraclinical diagnostic methods, mainly by using technologies able to continuously monitor the bioelectric activity of the masticatory muscles and the morpho-functional status of the stomatognathic system through ultrasonography.

5. Conclusions

1. The expression of primary sleep bruxism is influenced by the age of the patients: the younger patients (18-35 years of age) are characterized by having more severe disorders regarding the episodes of sleep bruxism, with more pronounced myogenous-spastic manifestations; older patients (35-50 years of age) are characterized by the predominance of local and diffuse myogenic-structural disturbances, with more pronounced arthrogenic pains, with more severe quantitative and qualitative disturbances of nighttime sleep.

2. The expression of the emotional stress and the quantitative manifestations of dental abrasion are not essentially different in patients with primary sleep bruxism based on the age factor.
3. The nighttime electromyography diagnosis via the application of portable devices and the ultrasound diagnosis can reveal important peculiarities of the activity of the masticatory muscles, regarding their local and diffuse thickness and echogenicity, associated with the severity of the primary sleep bruxism in patients of various age.
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Questions

1. Based on epidemiological data, what percentage of the general population is affected by bruxism?
   a. Up to 85-90%;
   b. Less than 0.1%;
   c. Up to 20-30%;
   d. Approximately 40%.

2. What age group is affected by bruxism?
   a. Only adults;
   b. Mainly children;
   c. Only the geriatric population;
   d. All the age groups.

3. Which of the following is not one of the bruxism cofactors?
   a. Alcohol;
   b. Caffeine;
   c. Sleep;
   d. Nicotine.

4. After the age of 65, the prevalence of bruxism is:
   a. Up to 1%;
   b. Up to 60%, regardless of gender;
   c. The highest among the general population;
   d. Around 10% with a tendency to decrease in relation to age.