COMPARATIVE STUDY REGARDING THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT FINISHING AND POLISHING SYSTEMS ON A BULK-FILL COMPOSITE RESIN SURFACE
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: One of the main objectives of composite restorations procedure is to obtain a smooth surface for aesthetic reasons and for oral health. The aim of this study was to assess the surface morphology of a bulk fill composite material after finishing and polishing with three different finishing and polishing systems. Materials and methods: Filtek Bulk Fill Posterior composite resin was chosen for this study (3M ESPE St. Paul, MN, USA). Twenty cylindrical samples 5 mm in diameter and 2 mm thick were made using plastic molds. They were randomly and equally assigned to four groups. In group 1 (control) the samples were not subjected to finishing and polishing procedure. In study groups 2-4, the samples were finished using two tungsten carbide burs and then polished using one step Ocl lubrush system (KerrHawe SA, Switzerland) - group 2, with the two step Sof-Lex system (3M ESPE) - group 3, and with the multi-step Super Snap system (Shofu, Inc. Kyoto, Japan) - group 4. The surface characteristics of the samples were quantitatively analyzed using profilometry and qualitatively evaluated by scanning electron microscopy. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test was used to determine the distribution of data in groups. ANOVA and Tukey post hoc statistical tests were used to compare the results in groups.

Results: Finishing with tungsten carbide burs and two-steps Sof-Lex polishing system and one-step polishing system Ocl lubrush determined, higher roughness when comparing to multi-step Super Snap system.

Conclusion: The surface characteristics of the studied composite resin were influenced by the type of finishing and polishing system used.
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1. Introduction

Finishing and polishing are mandatory steps in direct restoration using composite resins. Obtaining a smooth surface has always been one of the main objectives of composite restorations, not only for aesthetic reasons but also for maintaining oral health [1]. It is considered that the surface roughness that leads to bacterial plaque retention is 0.2 μm [2]. Surface roughness higher than this value makes the adherence of bacteria from the oral environment impossible to prevent. In time, biofilm accumulation is responsible for the decreased wear resistance of the restoration, increased risk of caries adjacent to restoration and periodontal inflammation. Surface roughness also influences the retention rate of extrinsic pigments and the aesthetic aspect of the restoration [3-5]. A wide range of instruments can be used to finish and polish direct restoration, such as carbide or diamond finishing burs, rubber based abrasives, aluminium oxide particle discs, abrasive strips and polishing pastes. The action of each instrument determines different values of roughness by the degree of flexibility of the substrate on which the abrasive powder is impregnated, by the hardness of the abrasive powder and by the diameter of the particles from which it is made and all these in relation to the structure of the composite material [6-8].

Aim The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the surface characteristics of a bulk fill composite resin used for direct restoration when different types of finishing and polishing systems were used. The surface microstructure was qualitatively evaluated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and quantitatively assessed by surface roughness determination using profilometry.

2. Materials and Methods

The material used in the present study was Filtek Bulk Fill Posterior Restorative (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA). Lot: N720858, shade A3. It was designed to be easily and quickly applied in layers of 5 mm thickness, and it can remain exposed to occlusal forces. The organic matrix of the Filtek Bulk Fill Posterior Restorative composite contains two new methacrylic monomers, which act synergistically to reduce the polymerization shrinkage. One of the monomers is a high molecular weight aromatic dimethacrylate (AUDM), which modulates the volumetric contraction. The second innovative monomer is actually a class of
The inorganic component of Filtek Bulk Fill Posterior Restorative consists in a combination of non-agglomerated/non-aggregated silica particles of 20 nm, non-agglomerated/non-aggregated zirconia particles with sizes ranging from 4 to 11 nm, respectively zirconia/silica clusters (containing 20 nm silica particles and 4 to 11 nm zirconia particles).

Details about tested material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material/Manufacturer/Type/Batch No./Shade</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Filler Load: 76.5 wt% / 58.4 vol%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filtek Bulk Fill Universal Restorative 3MESPE, St.Paul, MN, USA</td>
<td>N720858/ A3</td>
<td>Bis-GMA, AFM, EMA(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-agglomerated/silica particles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In group 4, samples were contoured and finished using the H135Q and H135UF burs (Komet Dental/Gebr Brasseler GmbH & Co. KG, Germany). Subsequent polishing was performed with the multi-step Super Snap System (Shofu, Inc. Kyoto, Japan). This system consists of discs for contouring, finishing, polishing and super-polishing. The discs are manufactured without a metallic ring, do not expose the mandrel to the active surface, and are impregnated with aluminium oxide powder of different grain size. For the present study, the purple finishing discs, the green polish and the pink ones for super-polish were used successively. Each abrasive disc was used once for each sample, without water spraying, without paste, for 30 seconds and the hand-piece was used at a speed of 10,000-20,000 rpm.

Finally, the samples were cleaned from the debris by washing with distilled water and dried with air. Subsequently, they were subjected to qualitative surface evaluation using the scanning electron microscope VEGA II LSH TESCAN (Czech Republic) and to quantitative profilometric analysis using a profilometer Taylor Hobson-Surtronic 25 (Ametek Inc, Berywyn, PA, USA). Ten traces were registered in different areas with a tip load of 0.75 mN, a tip diameter of 10 μm, a trace length of 0.25 mm, a scanning speed of 0.5 mm/s and a cut-off length of 0.8 μm.

The roughness parameters were calculated and the mean arithmetic deviation, Ra, of the assessed profile was used. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test was used to determine the distribution of data in groups. ANOVA and Tukey post hoc statistical tests were used to compare the results in groups.

3. Results

Some SEM surface images at different magnification with morphological aspects of Filtek Bulk Fill composite resin samples in groups 1-4 are presented in Fig. 1. In group 1 – Fig. 1a) (control samples), very rare micro-voids may be observed in small magnification (500 x), which can be inferred as arising from the sample preparation technique,
Figure 1. SEM surface images of Filtek Bulk Fill composite resin at different magnification: a) control group, b-d) after finishing with the three finishing and polishing systems.
rather than from the polymerization shrinkage. At this magnification, the surface of control samples appears to be much smoother compared to the other samples. At larger magnifications (2000 ×) very small particles can be identified, not aggregated, distributed among larger particles of silica and zirconia. The appearance of the surface seems compact, revealing a close adhesion between the organic matrix and the inorganic load. For group 2 – Fig. 1b), the microstructure presents many parallel micro-channels 1 micrometer wide and a 10 micrometers mean distances between them. It is observed that the very fine particles on the surface and matrix elements were removed by abrasion, leaving large clusters with the diameter up to 5 µm to be visible. Similar aspects are also observed in group 3 – Fig. 1c), but the micro-channels formed appear more accentuated and closer when compared to group 2. In group 4, the analyzed surface microstructure – Fig. 1d) indicates similar aspects, but the micro-channels are finer and rarer when compared to the samples from previous groups. The mean Ra and Rz values obtained by quantitative assessment of samples surface using profilometry are represented in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, respectively. The lowest Ra (0.023 µm) and Rz (0.181 µm) values were obtained in group 1. In group 2, increased Ra and Rz values were found (Ra mean value of 0.211 µm and mean Rz value of 1.308 µm). In group 3 the same increase in the Ra and Rz mean values was observed (Ra of 0.229 µm and Rz of 1.448 µm). In group 4 the mean Ra (0.098 µm) and Rz (0.798 µm) values were much lower when compared to groups 2 and 3, but higher than the control samples. The result of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test showed that in all groups the data were normal distributed (p = 0.250 > 0.05) (Table 2). In order to compare the results in groups, ANOVA and Tukey post hoc statistical tests were used (Table 3 and 4, respectively).

Significant results were obtained when comparing Ra values in groups 2, 3, and 4 to group 1 (p < 0.05, Table 4). Also, statistically significant results were obtained when comparing the surface roughness in group 2 to group 4 and in group 3 to group 4. The results in group 2 were not statistically significant when compared to group 3 (p > 0.05, Table 4).

4. Discussion

The type of inorganic filler of the material can influence the handling characteristic and the final surface aspect after finishing and polishing procedure. Some in vitro studies revealed that a number of other factors may influence the surface condition of the material, such as the type of finishing and polishing system: in one step or several steps [9,10]. It is a lack of consensual opinion in the literature regarding the effect of one step or multi-steps polishing system on composite resins surface roughness. It has been demonstrated that multi-step systems determined smoother surfaces than two-step systems or one-step systems [11]. On the other hand, some other studies showed that there are no significant differences between one-step and multi-step systems [12]. In the present study, the surface roughness of control samples was lower when compared to the results obtained for each of the three finishing and polishing systems used. These results are in agreement with a series of studies which have shown that the smoothest composite resin surface is obtained when the material is light-cured in tight contact with a celluloid matrix [13-15]. The superficial layer, polymerized in contact with
the celluloid matrix has a higher organic content than the underlying layer [16-18] and the removal of this layer by finishing and polishing procedure will increase the surface resistance of the material [19,20]. However, the anatomical contour of the restoration is rarely achieved only by the use of the celluloid matrix [21,22], so most of the time it is necessary to use the finishing and polishing instruments to remove the material in excess and to obtain the shape and the appearance of the restoration closer to the natural tooth.

In order to be effective, a finishing system has to contain abrasive particles with higher hardness than the inorganic filler particles of the composite. Otherwise, during the finishing and polishing steps, the resin-rich superficial layer (which has poor physical and mechanical properties) will be removed, and the filler particles will remain into bold relief on the surface layer [23,24]. Composites containing small filler particles will provide after finishing and polishing a smoother surface than the ones containing larger-sized filler particles [25,26]. It was demonstrated that a composite surface roughness higher than 0.2 μm will prone the composite surface to bacterial plaque retention, increased risk for secondary caries onset and for periodontal inflammation, and will affect the aesthetic aspect and the longevity of the restoration [27]. Some studies have shown that many of the finishing and polishing systems on the market lead to a smooth surface, with mean Ra values varying from 0.02 μm to 0.56 μm [28, 29]. In our study using tungsten carbide burs with the one-step polishing system Occlusbrush and tungsten carbide burs with the two-step Sof-Lex finishing system determined the Ra mean value to slightly increase over 0.2 μm. When using tungsten carbide burs and the multi-step Super Snap system, the mean Ra value was lower than 0.2 μm. We can assume that the effect of the finishing and polishing protocol used in this study had a notable clinical significance.

The complex surface structure of a composite resin cannot be fully characterized only by using profilometry. A clear prediction of clinical performance of a restorative material can be made by correlating the roughness parameters calculated by the profile geometry with the morphological surface analysis by scanning electron microscopy, which allows assessing the destructive potential of different finishing and polishing systems [30,31]. Since the quality of the final restoration surface is influenced by the type of the instrument used for finishing and polishing and also by the material structure, choosing the most suitable instrument for a specific material seems to become of great clinical importance.

5. Conclusion

1. For Filtek Bulk Fill Posterior (3M ESPE), the smoothest surface was obtained when the material was placed in direct contact with the celluloid matrix.
2. The use of tungsten carbide burs followed by multi-step Super Snap finishing system determined a lower surface roughness than with the other polishing systems.
3. Finishing with tungsten carbide burs and two-steps Sof-Lex polishing system and one-step polishing system Occlusbrush determined, significantly higher surface roughness than multi-step Super Snap system.
4. The surface characteristics of the studied composite resin were influenced by the type of finishing and polishing system used.
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