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ABSTRACT

Aim: An odontogenic keratocyst (OKC) of the mandible is a benign intraosseous lesion of odontogenic origin characterized by a high recurrence rate. In this case report, we highlight the challenging diagnosis and propose a potential treatment for an extensive OKC with lingual expansion.

Summary: A 26-year-old male with an OKC in the ramus of the right mandible near the second and third molars was treated by a combined intra/extra-oral approach. A reconstruction plate was adapted and fixed by extra-oral submandibular access, followed by intra/extra-oral executed enucleation.

Key learning points: The combined intra/extra oral approach seems a reasonable technique for the treatment of similar extensive OKC’s in order to avoid pathological fractures as well as guaranteeing total removal of the lesion.
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1. Introduction

Odontogenic keratocysts (OKC’s) of the mandible have been of interest since first presented by Philipsen in 1956 [1,17]. OKC’s are considered to be benign intraosseous lesions of odontogenic origin. Evidence suggests that OKC’s are to be found posterior to the third molars if offshoots of dental lamina remnants are involved. OKC’s occupying the ascending ramus, often present with epithelial island in the mucosa overlying the cyst [1,2,36]. The lesions are characterized by a tendency to grow within the medullar space of the mandible/maxilla and have a relatively high recurrence rate. The potential aggressiveness of OKC’s is reflected by their potential to extend into soft tissues and bone, although rare. In contrast to ameloblastomas, OKC’s cause bone destruction but do not invade through an intact periosteum [1,33]. This was questioned by Stoelinga, who cited a rare instance of keratocysts with soft tissue penetrance [2,38,43,44,45]. OKC’s exhibit characteristics of both cysts and benign tumors and were reclassified as odontogenic tumors by the World Health Organization (WHO) in their 2005 Classification of Head and Neck Tumors. In the 2017 classification, the WHO re-categorized the keratocystic odontogenic tumor into the “cyst” category, and the term “odontogenic keratocyst” has been used since. This ambiguity is reflected by their histological characteristics as they present with a cystic architecture but the epithelial lining has a proliferative potential. Histologically the OKC is lined by a regular, keratinized, stratified, squamous epithelium which is 5-10 layers thick and has no rete ridges. The parakeratin surface typically appears corrugated, the basal layer is well defined and frequently palisaded with hyperchromatic nuclei and focal areas showing reversed nuclear polarity. [3,7,8,41]. OKC’s do not cause metastases or lymph node invasion and do not form tumoral masses. The WHO reclassification could lead to confusion and decreased alertness concerning this lesion. [2,3] When consulting the literature, one must be aware of the different appellations. OKC has a broad age predominance, with a peak incidence in the 2nd-3th decades. In addition, the incidence is higher in male patients, with a male to female ratio of 3:1 [4]. Presentation occurs twice as often in the mandible (70-75%) as in the maxilla. The posterior body and ascending ramus of the mandible are typical locations. As a consequence, all cysts in the posterior mandibular area have to be treated as if they were OKC’s of unicystic ameloblastomas. However, OKC’s can also occur in the dentate area of the mandible or maxilla, mimicking ordinary odontogenic cysts. Signs and symptoms can be subtle, but the typical presentation is pain, local swelling, infection and...
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cellulitis [1,4,6,12,39]. OKC's are often asymptomatic, probably because they grow in the anteroposterior direction into the intramedullary space, with little cortical expansion. When these lesions reach a large size or perforate the cortical bone, they will often become symptomatic [9,21,40]. Of all the cases, 1:3 will be related to an unerupted tooth; the relationship between OKC's and impacted third molars is 10-15%. In addition, growing OKC's can dislocate away associated teeth [23,24]. OKC's represent approximately 10% of all cysts of the jaw. They are frequently discovered incidentally by radiographic examination. On radiography, the OKC presents itself as a well-defined radiolucent area. They are commonly unilocular, more rarely multilocular. Often they are not distinguishable from regular odontogenic cysts [6,9]. Specific clinical and radiographic characteristics that point to a certain diagnosis pre-operatively are lacking. The Gorlin Goltz syndrome has to be considered if a patient presents with multiple OKC's. This is an autosomal dominant multisystem disease that leads to multiple OKC's, as well as several nevoid basal cell carcinomas, palmar or plantar pits, calcification of falx cerebri, and skeletal abnormalities. The Gorlin Goltz syndrome is associated with mutations in the PTCH gene situated on 9q22.3-q31, with described mutation rates of 80-90% [1,7,8,16,19,20]. The established treatment modalities for OKC's can be divided into radical and conservative treatment options. The radical treatment consists of en bloc resection with negative margins of the segment and has been associated with a recurrence rate of approximately 0%. Knowing the benign nature of this lesion and the morbidity of en bloc resection, this technique has to be reserved for wide, extensive lesions. A retrospective study showed that the main reasons for radical treatment are invasion of the pterygoid muscles and the presence of malignant change [5,12,13,34]. The conservative therapies consist of enucleation, but it is generally agreed upon that additional measures for enucleation are crucial to minimize recurrence. The three main techniques are peripheral ostectomy, chemical curettage with application of Carnoy’s solution, and cryotherapy. In the literature, there is immense variability in the use of additional techniques, and studies have shown a similar efficacy between peripheral ostectomy and Carnoy’s solution. Superior outcomes of cryotherapy have not been described [6,12,13,21,26]. Lesions exceeding 3 cm are not fully suitable for enucleation. In these cases, decompression by marsupialization can reduce the lesion size. The literature has not shown an increased risk of recurrence after decompression [25-27]. It seems advisable to treat each cyst in the mandibular third molar region with possible extension into the ascending ramus by enucleation, with excision of the overlying, attached mucosa if possible. Subsequently, treatment with Carnoy’s solution or liquid nitrogen has to be considered. The targeted treatment of the OKC seems achievable, as multiple mutations have been elucidated. Mutations in the PTCH gene or the gene encoding smoothened protein that enhances sonic hedgehog signaling (SMO) can be drug targets. The antimetabolite 5-fluorouracil may affect the sonic hedgehog pathway and has shown lower postoperative morbidity in studies [25,32]. The best treatment for an OKC of the mandible is still a matter of debate, as diagnosis is not straightforward. With this case report, we demonstrate the ambiguity in diagnosis and existing treatment modalities and propose treatment for a large OKC in the right mandible with lingual expansion.

2. Case presentation
A 26-year-old Caucasian male was referred to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery...
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complaining of a pain in the right ramus of the mandible, without swelling of this region. Radiographically, there was a unilocular radiolucent lesion with defined borders located in the right mandibular ramus, measuring 42 mm associated with the retained third molar (Fig. 1). He had no relevant medical history. Clinical examination did not reveal abnormalities. No regional lymph nodes were palpable and intra-oral examination revealed no swelling. Cone beam computed tomography (Fig. 2) showed an expansive, well defined, unilocular cystic lesion longitudinally in the right mandible near the second molar and closely adhering to the third unerupted molar. The lesion had a radiopaque border but caused thinning of the lingual cortex of the mandible with destruction of the cortex at the medial and caudal edge of the mandible. Periapical resorption was apparent lateral and posterior to the apex of the second mandibular molar with destruction of the two roots. Neither calcification nor a periosteal reaction was evident.

On MRI (Fig. 3), the lesion had homogenous high signal intensity on T2-weighted imaging, low signal intensity on T1-weighted imaging, and homogenous enhancement of the cyst wall after administration of intravenous gadolinium. These characteristics were more suggestive of a benign odontogenic cyst rather than an OKC because of the lack of high intensity on T1-weighted imaging before contrast administration, correlating with ortho/parakeratin or hemorrhage in keratocysts. An ultrasound-guided fine needle biopsy was performed, which was suggestive of an inflammatory follicular or radicular cyst, rather than a keratocyst or ameloblastoma. The surgeons preferred to do a fine needle biopsy instead of an incisional biopsy as it is less invasive and incisional biopsies have the potential for sampling error [42]. A provisional diagnosis of radicular/inflammatory odontogenic cyst was determined. Two weeks later, surgery was performed under general anesthesia. First, a submandibular neck incision (10 cm) was made, followed by dissection and local excision of the enlarged submandibular lymph nodes. The peristeme was incised over the mandible inferior edge and the mental foramen located. A Martin 2.3 plate was adapted and fixated with 7-9 mm screws (Fig. 4). The extra oral approach was preferred due to the size of the lesion, the necessity of wide exposure and the fear for a pathological fracture. Subsequently, teeths 47 and 48 were extracted after preparation of a buccolingual mucoperiosteal flap. Via combined intra/extra-oral access, the cystic mass was exposed. A local posterior gingival resection...
was performed by intra-oral access. The inferior alveolar nerve was released of extensive adhesions over 4 cm without causing a continuity defect. Local bone trepanation was performed to facilitate enucleation while safeguarding bony continuity of the lower border. After flushing, achieving hemostasis, and applying a tetracycline suspension in the intramandibular cavity, intra-oral suturing was performed. The submandibular incision was closed after placing a drain. A postoperative panoramic radiograph showed adequate positioning of the reconstruction plate at the lower border of the right mandible (Fig. 5).

One week after the procedure, the pathology analysis was complete. The microscopic characteristics of the H&E stained section showed Malpighian epithelium with marked peripheral palisading of the stratum basale. Parakeratosis and orthokeratosis were present with characteristic corrugations of the superficial layer. Some epithelial neutrophilic granulocytes were present and part of the cystic wall was replaced by inflammatory granulation tissue (Fig. 6). Taken together, these findings confirmed the diagnosis of OKC.

Rigorous follow-up was organized with a panoramic radiograph, cephalometric X-ray, and cone beam computed tomography after 6 months, showing no recurrence. The cone beam computed tomography showed a favorable ossifying pattern (Fig. 7). Patient history and clinical examination indicated a favorable healing process (Fig. 8).

3. Discussion
The OKC is an expansive, solitary, mostly unilocular (approximately 80% of cases) jaw lesion thought to arise from remnants of the dental lamina. The active epithelial lining and high proliferation rate reflect a potentially aggressive growth pattern. There is a high recurrence rate, between 25 and 60%, linked to the dental lamina origin and its epithelial islands. Epithelial islands, or micro cysts, can be found in the overlying mucosa in almost 50% of cases. Research on recurrent OKC's has shown that epithelial islands or micro cysts are present in almost 100% of recurrent cases [36,37]. The high recurrence rate is attributed to the parakeratotic character of OKC's[8-10]. Most cases of recurrence present within 5 years of treatment, but recurrence after more than...
10 years has been described. Higher recurrence rates have been reported with multilocular lesions and in patients with the Gorlin Goltz syndrome [8-10,14,18,25]. Our patient consulted with aggravating pain in the right ramus of the mandible and dysgeusia. The dysgeusia was probably caused by a fistula between the lesion and the oral cavity, through which keratin could enter the mouth. Panoramic radiography is helpful in the preliminary assessment, as an OKC will present as a defined radiolucent lesion, mostly unilocular, with smooth and corticated margins [1,3]. Panoramic radiography will show the possible relationship with (impacted) teeth. Cone beam CT should be the next step, as it is considered superior to panoramic radiography because of the possibility to detect bone cortical perforations in the region where the overlying oral mucosa is adhered to the OKC. It is a valuable tool in detecting all dimensions of the lesion with a better identification of the anatomical structures. It has a high spatial resolution but poor contrast resolution, which is not suitable for soft tissue discrimination [1]. Cortical expansion in OKC’s will occur more often lingually than buccally. Furthermore, root resorption is rare with OKC’s, in contrast to ameloblastomas, with which root resorption is seen frequently. In our case, the radiolucent lesion caused thinning of the lingual cortex of the mandible and root resorption of the second molar. This highlights that a diagnosis of OKC cannot be made using imaging techniques only. When evaluating a cystic lesion of the mandible, MRI is a complementary technique to cone beam CT and can be applicable in cases of soft tissue involvement. MRI is superior in illuminating the soft tissue involvement, and a number of studies argue that MRI is crucial in discriminating ameloblastomas from OKC’s [28,29]. As such, cone beam CT and MRI, in select cases, are crucial in the diagnosis of OKC’s. Some lesions can be indistinguishable from other osteolytic jaw lesions on imaging; therefore, histopathology is always necessary for a definitive diagnosis. A prospective study of 82 OKC’s reported that 40% were not suspected before surgery [1,22,24]. The surgeons chose not to execute a preoperative incisional biopsy as it can cause inflammation and interfere in the histopathological analysis of the postsurgical specimen.

The differential diagnosis consisted of OKC, ameloblastoma, dentigerous cyst, or radicular cyst. Clinically, these lesions can be indistinguishable, but on imaging they each have typical characteristics. An OKC can be a unilocular or multilocular lesion with few septa and minimal buccolingual expansion. An ameloblastoma typically presents as a multilocular lesion with root resorption and high tendency for buccolingual expansion. A dentigerous cyst will present as a unilocular cyst around the crown of an impacted tooth with possible buccolingual expansion and without septa. Lastly, a radicular/inflammatory cyst will present as a unilocular lesion connected to the apex of a non-vital tooth [1,24].

Fine needle aspiration biopsy can be considered a safe technique, offering a possibly valuable contribution to pre-operative diagnosis. However, it can be misleading due to inflammation in OKC’s.
In addition, a biopsy of an unrepresentative area of the lesion can be misleading. The result can be indicative, but a negative result can never rule out a possible diagnosis of OKC until investigation of the final resection specimen. Baykul et al. showed a correlation of 89.95% between cytological and histopathological diagnosis for cystic lesions in the maxillofacial region [22,29,30,31].

With this in mind, diagnosis and subsequent treatment of OKC’s poses a challenge. The objective is to reduce the recurrence risk as much as possible, while minimizing morbidity. This delicate balance has led to heavy international debate, and no consensus on treatment has been reached.

Conventional treatment modes were described in this article, however, for this specific case an adapted treatment was performed. Because of the size of the lesion and its lingual expansion, there was uncertainty about mandibular stability after enucleation. The location of the lesion with immediate connection to the deep neck soft tissues could not be neglected. The expanded resorption of the lingual cortex with broad fenestration could induce a pathological fracture after enucleation with bone trepanation for removal of teeths 47 and 48. Therefore, a combined intra/extra-oral technique was applied. By adapting and fixating a plate before performing the enucleation, we tried to avoid a pathological fracture. With this degree of extended osteolysis, we felt fixation of a plate was necessary. What if mandibular continuity resection is necessary peri-operatively? Then, the mandibular bony contour would be guaranteed by using the reconstruction plate. As no clear arguments for OKC were present pre-operatively and peri-operatively, the surgeons decided not to use Carnoy’s solution because of the location of the inferior alveolar nerve and the potential neurotoxicity, saving soft tissue as much as possible.

The described technique seems suitable for lesions of this size when pathological fractures are likely. It provides an elegant way to provide mandibular...

Figure 7. Follow-up 6 months after surgery.
(a) Panoramic radiograph, (b) cephalometric X-ray, and (c) cone beam computed tomography.
continuity while executing enucleation. We are aware that the short follow-up is a limitation of this case report.

4. Conclusion
With this case report, we tried to point out the difficult diagnosis of OKC and, by extension, all radiolucent lesions of the mandible. Although the lesion does not always present with its typical features, the possibility of OKC must be taken into account when setting up a treatment protocol. Given the presented case, the combined intra/extra oral approach is a reasonable technique for the treatment of similar OKC’s in order to avoid pathological fractures as well as guaranteeing total removal of the lesion.
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Questions

1. What percentage of the cases present the odontogenic keratocyst in the mandible (approximately)?
   - a. 10 %
   - b. 20 %
   - c. 40 %
   - d. 70 %

2. Which syndrome is strongly associated with a higher rate of OKC’s?
   - a. Pierre Robin syndrome
   - b. Gorlin-Goltz syndrome
   - c. Neurofibromatosis
   - d. Treacher Collins Syndrome

3. Recurrence rate after 5 year follow up of a surgically treated non-syndromal keratocyst is approximately?
   - a. 0-5%
   - b. 0-20%
   - c. 20-40%
   - d. 60-80%

4. Male to female ratio of OKC’s can be estimated at?
   - a. 3:1
   - b. 1:1
   - c. 1:2
   - d. 1:3