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ABSTRACT

Background  The COVID-19 pandemic does not leave the dental practice unattended. In Part 1 the reason why measures should be taken was explored.

Objective  The objective is to review the measures that can be taken to minimize the risk of transmission in the dental practice.

Data Sources  These measures can be classified according to the guidelines of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH).

Study Selection  A qualitative approach explores the applicability of these guidelines to the dental practice.

Data Extraction  In order to prevent aerosol transmission in the dental practice a large number of changes are needed. It concerns hygiene protocols, organizational protocols and architectural changes, none of which are backed by a legal framework.

Conclusion  Until a vaccination program is introduced to counter the COVID-19 pandemic in a country, the dental society will need to take measures to prevent aerosol transmission in the dental office. The pyramid of measures according to NIOSH offers a suitable frame to classify all measures. Any legal regulatory intervention could use this framework.
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1. INTRODUCTION

After the outbreak of COVID-19 in Wuhan, China, the virus spread to the rest of the world and on March 11, 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic was formalized by the World Health Organization. Part 1 of the manuscript did answer the question why a lasting influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on the general dental practice could be expected in the absence of a vaccination program. The second part tries to list all measures that could be taken (hygiene measures, organisational and architectural changes) and to provide a framework according to NIOSH pyramid which is well-known in the industry [1].
2. METHODOLOGY: CLASSIFICATION OF MEASURES

When considering COVID-19 as a new infectious agent for dental practice, it seems wise to follow the pyramid of basic interventions that apply to every workplace, the “hierarchy of controls” as defined by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) in the United States. Infection control measures can also be tested against this criterion in order to arrive at practice-oriented recommendations [2].

Five questions are asked:
- Can the agent be eliminated?
- Can the agent be replaced by a less harmful agent (substitution)?
- Can the employee be isolated from the agent (technical controls)?
- Can the working method be adapted (administrative control)?
- Is personal protective equipment (PPE) possible?

3. RESULTS

3.1. Elimination

Elimination means no exposure to the COVID-19 virus. This is the purpose of the lock-down, of the quarantine measures and PCR testing. By ensuring that, no COVID-19 positive patients in the dental practice undergo any aerosol generating procedure. Dental treatments in COVID-19 positive have been assigned to treatment centres equipped for this purpose if the treatment could not be postponed. Another means is a vaccination schedule for the population that cancels out the virus spreading. However, in the absence of a vaccine and systematic PCR screening, each patient must be considered as a possible source of infection for the COVID-19 virus and, mutatis mutandis, for all aerosol-transmitted germs (chicken pox, influenza, tuberculosis, measles, etc.). The elimination of the source of infection in the dental chair cannot be achieved conclusively, but in the hierarchy of measures it is preferable that it takes place as close as possible to the source, in fact as close as possible to the potentially infected patient. In concrete terms, the production and diffusion of aerosols must be tackled and contamination through direct and indirect contact with the source of contamination must be avoided or prevented.

The following measures, under the heading of elimination, will appear in infection control protocols in the future, if they are not already present:
- as soon as possible: vaccination
- questionnaires to prevent potentially infected patients coming into the dental practice
- point-of-care PCR testing
- other point-of-care diagnostic testing for COVID-19
- measuring the patient’s temperature: if >37.3°C, the patient should contact the general practitioner.
- The patient washes his hands with soap and water and, after drying them with pure paper wipes, disinfects them with an alcohol hand solution (>70%) when entering the practice.
- the patient must wear a mouth mask up to the office chair
- the patient enters the practice alone (unless supervision is required)
- contactless access to the practice chair
- rinsing the mouth with 1% hydrogen peroxide or 1% povidone iodide mouthwash before any intervention causing aerosol production
- use of the rubber dam whenever possible
- use of surgical suction with a flow rate of 300 l/min where possible
- patients with drooling: scopolamine or atropine patch
- the use of mobile extra-oral fog extraction systems
- the use of disinfectants in tap water of rotating instruments or ultrasonic instruments.

All current measures for cleaning and disinfecting surfaces remain valid both inside and outside the splash zone; in this case, the surface is first cleaned...
of visible dirt and only then disinfected following the guidelines for the correct use of disinfectant; as an example, but not exhaustively [3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16]:

- Alcohol-free wipes (wipes) based on a mixture of several different quaternary ammonium compounds and a biguanide have a broad biocidal spectrum; this intermediate degree of disinfection is sufficient for the COVID-19 virus.
- A higher degree of disinfection can be achieved with concentrated sodium hypochlorite, but beware of chlorine applications on stainless steel: in the long term, stainless steel will be affected; especially with lower quality stainless steel, this effect will be more quickly noticeable.
- Surfaces can be disinfected with more than 70% alcohol after cleaning.
- Electrical or electronic appliances are rubbed with alcohol-based disinfectants to prevent damage. Computers, keyboards and accessories are disinfected with 70% isopropyl alcohol to prevent damage to the LCD screen.

In a hospital context, experience is gained by making the treatment room reusable during the transition period:
- Nocolysis: this involves atomisation with an 8% hydrogen peroxide solution; this hydrogen peroxide is transformed into oxygen radicals which inactivate viruses and bacteria; this mist is toxic; for a 50 m² room, a spraying time of 3 minutes and an average waiting time of one hour (exposure time, ventilation time) is required; this solution is expensive.
- There are systems for disinfecting treatment rooms and entire operating theatres based on UV-C light, but they are not used in general dental practice because the UV-C light for this application is unprotected and toxic, but also because of the high cost of these devices [17].

The infectivity of the air depends on the size of the room, the number of air changes, the procedure (aerosol or not), the number of people in the room (coughing or not); allowing natural ventilation by opening the windows wide always has an important place in the prevention of infections [18,19,20].

3.2. Substitution
Substitution of the infectious agent by a less harmful agent is not possible for COVID-19.

3.3. Technical measures
The main innovation that will be triggered by the COVID-19 virus is air treatment in dental practices. Hospitals have had to invest in this area for several decades, both for general air quality and for treatment rooms or operating theatres [21,22,23].

We expect measures to be taken in both dental intervention rooms as in the general dental practice.

3.3.1. Treatment area
Hospitals have different “classes” of surgery or treatment rooms [24,25].
- A “treatment room” in a Belgian hospital has no “class”; no clean or limited ventilation system, no HEPA air filtration, if possible, a ventilation rate of 6 is achieved. It is impossible to measure over- or underpressure because these treatment rooms are often not airtight. Ventilation can be achieved by opening the windows or using a ventilation device if there are no windows. Usually an ISO class 8 according to ISO14644 is obtained at rest (i.e. maximum 352000 particles > 0.5 μm and maximum 29300 particles > 5 μm). It is these particles or dusts that can serve as carriers for possible bacteria and viruses, just as aerosols can serve as carriers for the COVID virus. The number of colony-forming units allowed is < 500 CFU/m³. This is in fact also the situation in most dental practices.
- Class 2 operating theatres (also called performance requirement 2) have a mixed ventilation system, HEPA air filtration, a multiple ventilation factor of 6 to 30 times (criterion for new buildings). Usually an ISO class 7 according to ISO14644 is imposed at rest (i.e. max. 352000 particles > 0.5 μm and max. 2930 particles > 5 μm). The permitted number of CFU/m³ is < 200. The operating theatre (zone 1) is normally over-pressurised compared to the rest of the hospital (zone 2) in order to protect the operating area from environmental contamination. Overpressure in the room is possible if it is constructed in a sealed manner. Different rooms can be operated by a common ventilation system. The exhaust air is always blown outside (no air recovery).
- A class 1 operating theatre (or performance requirement 1) is equipped with an unmixed ventilation system, laminar flow integrated in the plenum, HEPA filtration, a ventilation rate of between 30 and 60x per hour (criterion for new buildings),
an ISO class 5 system at rest below the plenum and ISO class 7 at the periphery and a maximum of only 10 CFU/m³ allowed. The operating theatre (zone 1) is located in an operating room (zone 2) which is separated from the rest of the hospital (zone 3). The air flow is from zone 1 to zone 3. This type of operating theatre usually has one ventilation unit per operating theatre. The extracted air can be partially recirculated and re-injected through the HEPA-filters in the plenum.

- Fungal infections are not allowed in classrooms or treatment rooms.
- Operations under general anaesthesia usually take place in class 1 or class 2 operating theatres, while oro-maxillo-facial surgery and dental treatments which can be performed under local anaesthesia or IV sedation are located in treatment rooms. The operating theatres operate with an overflow of air from the room to the environment to prevent pathogens from entering the operating room from outside and compromising the sterile field. This is achieved by blowing more air into the room than is sucked in. The excess air escapes from the room through the door slots or grilles. If the room is sufficiently sealed, the air flow can be properly controlled and even measured with differential pressure meters. This is called positive pressure. In the case of an infected patient in the operating room, the patient is the source of the pathogens and to maintain the source of infection in the operating room, it is necessary to modify the air flow from the environment to the operating room, or to create a negative pressure [26]. More air is then extracted than is blown in. If possible, the contaminated air is diverted to the outside by a powerful extraction system with a double filter (pre-filter and HEPA filter). If the air is recirculated anyway, as in class 1 operating rooms, it is returned through the HEPA filters into the plenum before entering the operating room again. In the dentist’s treatment room, only the surgical suction is able to draw in the aerosol most powerfully because it is located in the aerosol production area. An ordinary saliva aspirator does little to control the production of aerosols. Surgical suction does have an effect on the transmission of droplets and droplet nuclei [27,28]. An extra-oral saliva aspirator may be effective in some situations, but it is designed for industrial use and is very bulky and intrusive in practice. As COVID-19 likes humidity, the installation of a dehumidifier can be defended on a theoretical basis [29]. A stand-alone dehumidifier is usually sufficient for a space of 100 m². Humidity in a dental practice comes from the aerosols created during the dental treatments, from evaporation after disinfection of surfaces and spaces and from the autoclave. However, if regular natural (windows) or mechanical (ventilation devices) ventilation takes place, the room will already be dehumidified in this way. The added value of a stand-alone dehumidifier will then be much more limited or even non-existent. These are devices designed to purify the air quality and reduce the number of particles circulating in the air, but without any effect on the transmission of droplets:

- Air disinfection systems based on UV-C light with a peak emission wavelength of 253.7 nm; the contaminated air is sucked into the unit where it is irradiated by a number (often 4) of UV-C lamps which inactivate all biological particles by damaging the DNA. These units can be operated during active dental treatment and do not allow UV-C radiation to escape thanks to the good shielding of the housing. There is no production of ozone or other by-products. These devices are suitable for killing bacteria, viruses and fungi. Care must be taken with UVC as UVC is harmful to plastics.
- Air disinfection systems based on HEPA filtration are realistic for the general dental practice because of the affordable price, the absence of any toxicity and because they do not require any interruption of practice. HEPA filters are well known in the hospital sector where they are integrated into the plenum of
operating theatres. The room air flows over a HEPA filter and an activated carbon filter with a filtration efficiency > 99.9% for all particles between 0.3 μm and 0.4 μm. Simple commercial stand-alone units are generally suitable for treatment areas of 75 m² and allow about 4 to 6 air changes per hour, depending on the filtration rate. The main disadvantage of these units is noise. The position of the unit must prevent aerosols from being sucked into the dentist.

- Mobile or wall-mounted air purifiers based on ionisation are extremely effective and broadband-spectrum and are capable of removing viruses, bacteria, prions and odours [30,31,32]. The particles are, so to speak, electrocuted and collected in an activated carbon collector. In addition to pulverising the aspirated particles with negative electrons, the ioniser also creates reactive oxygen radicals. The cartridges of these air purifiers must be replaced regularly. These devices can also be installed in rooms where there is over- or underpressure. These devices have a low air flow rate. Approximately 2.5 air changes per hour are achievable for commercially accessible units. Larger units are available with more air changes per hour, but less suitable for general practice. It is important to check that the unit does not produce ozone as an end product. Most ionizers on the market are also equipped with some filters to stop coarse particles before the residue passes through the ionizer.

- Devices that inactivate micro-organisms on the basis of cold plasma (= ionised gas) and HEPA-filtration work by generating a high electric field of 10 kV/cm in the cold plasma vacuum reactor by means of dielectric barrier discharges in which oxygen radicals disinfect the air, and the filters ensure that no particles leave the device [33,34,35]. These are fast-acting devices (less than 2 minutes for a treatment room) with an inactivation efficiency of >99.9% for particles greater than 0.3 μm without leaving residues. The disadvantage is their weight (around 200 kg) and the high cost of their maintenance. This technology was originally developed for the MIR space station. Fungi such as Aspergillus are resistant to inactivation by cold plasma. Natural ventilation by opening windows can achieve up to 10 air changes per hour, but depends on a number of important parameters such as wind, outside temperature, window type, surface area, room orientation and opening duration of the windows. In order to reduce air contaminants by 90%, 2.5 air changes are required [36,37,38]. The time required depends on the number of air changes per hour achieved. For the calculation of the required change time (= time needed between the last aerosol production and the entry of the next patient into the treatment room), any scientific studies carried out in a real dental practice environment are still missing. As a rough estimate, without a rocksolid scientific basis, it is assumed that the time required for 2.5 air changes with closed windows without mechanical ventilation can vary between 5 and 25 hours, whereas widely open windows on either side of the treatment room can complete the work in 5 minutes. Open windows and mechanical ventilation seem to achieve this in 15 to 30 minutes. In rooms producing aerosols, the World Health Organization does not recommend 2.5 air changes per hour, but 6 to 12. A unit with a flow rate of 400 m³/h placed in a standard 40 m³ box will provide 10 air changes per hour [39,40].

3.3.2. General dental practice

In contrast to class 1 or 2 operating theatres, where the large amount of ventilation air is cooled and filtered in the ventilation system, in treatment rooms or in most dental practices, autonomous air conditioning units are required to achieve pleasant temperatures all year round. Harmful micro-organisms can escape through such systems [41,42]. It is therefore important to ensure that rooms, windows and doors are well sealed and to use air filters and air conditioners that are regularly maintained and replaced. In practice, filters should be replaced at least once a year and drip pans should be cleaned. For more sensitive operations, it is even recommended several times a year. Ventilation through natural or mechanical extraction works. Contamination of a dwelling adjacent to the practice by a collective ventilation...
system is unlikely, but it is recommended that, if possible, a distance of 5 to 10 metres should be kept between the discharge grille and open windows or the suction grille. The movement of impure air from central rooms to service rooms (garages, cellars, etc.) may be insufficient if, for example, the windows of these service rooms are open.

### 3.4. Organisation of the practice

Many trends already present in the hospital sector will accelerate their entry into the general dental practice: digital appointment management, numerous smartphone applications for contactless payment, filling in questionnaires, access and completion of one’s own dental record [43]. A treatment room where an aerosol is produced will remain closed with an indicator light to prevent entry during treatment (Fig. 1). At the reception perspex creates a safe partition between the patient and the receptionist. In between two successive patients, there should be time for a complete cleaning of the unit and the contact points of the patient and the practitioner (door handle, lamp, chair, keyboard). In a conventional hospital environment, the “transition times or change-over time” in an operating theatre are known (Fig. 2) [44].

Wall posters, patient leaflets with instructions and guidelines, digital wall signs with information, signs, indicators and markings on floors and walls are among the possibilities.

In places where patients come, it should be possible to disinfect their hands and obtain a mouth mask. The decoration of the rooms should be very sober; drinking fountains should be avoided. Since using the toilet also causes hand disinfection by touching the glasses, the creation of an aerosol after flushing the toilet will also require technical innovations, e.g. the installation of UV-C light for decontamination, and the invitation to wash hands thoroughly after each use of the toilet should be displayed [45,46,47,48,49]. Pets will be left in the car park and personal belongings will remain at home or be stored in a locker. The practice is organised in such a way that contact with people and objects is kept to a minimum, including doorbells, door handles, payment terminals and other points of contact. Video consultations can be useful in general dentistry for triage, questionnaire completion, follow-up and assessment of problems that do not necessarily require an intra-oral examination. Existing guidelines on waste management are sufficient [50]. Although less critical than not respecting deadlines in the legal profession, the expiry of storage periods for disinfectants should be monitored with the establishment of systems to record regular maintenance, cleaning, toilet cleaning. Water-based disinfectants may be stored for 7 to 14 days once opened; in the case of alcoholic solutions, no storage period is used unless limited by the manufacturer. Maintenance contracts and cost-benefit analyses and vaccination schedules for auxiliaries should not be missed.

### 3.5. Personal protection measures

As far as personal protective measures are concerned, all guidelines on hygiene and hand washing remain fully in force [51]. Nothing has changed with regard to gloves in dental practices.
In practice, this means that a dentist wears an FFP-2 work when worn for long periods of time (Fig. 4) [55]. A common complaint is that it is difficult to breathe or of causing pressure ulcers at pressure points and a Health (NIOSH). An FFP mask has the disadvantage for Filtering Facepiece Particle (filtering particle of US National Institute for Occupational Safety and the equivalent of the N95 nasal mask certified by the . FFP-2 masks offer better protection than FFP-2 masks, but after 1-30 minutes, the total reduction in contamination is only ± 23% according to some publications [56]. Face shields are less effective against small particles. After all, these particles can stay in the air longer and can easily get behind the face mask. Nasal masks and splash goggles or face shields should not be touched by the healthcare provider in the infected area [57]. Dentists who prefer to wear a surgical mask rather than an FFP2 mask should preferably choose an FFP2 mask with a valve, which allows much more comfortable exhalation. Reuse of surgical masks is not possible. Watering or moistening surgical mouth masks is not a good idea. FFP-2 masks can be reused twice after recterilization with hydrogen peroxide and low pressure gas sterilization [58,59]. Goggles and face shields are washed with soap and water and, after drying, disinfected with disinfectant alcohol or treated in the instrument washer. PAPRs (Powered Air-Purifying Respirators) are breathing apparatus in the form of a bonnet or full face mask with a battery-operated fan that conducts a positive air flow through a filter to the bonnet [60]. The filter is the equivalent of a HEPA filter that retains 99.7% of the particles in 0.3 μm. This type of maximum protection is justified for the treatment of a COVID-positive patient in the contagious phase, but it is almost impossible to use in an ambulatory dental setting because communication with the patient is completely disrupted. There is also a high risk of infection if this PAPR is taken off (Fig. 7) [61]. Even if a dentist takes maximum personal protective measures, he cannot prevent consecutive patients from infecting each other through aerosols contaminating the air in the treatment room; additional ventilation measures are necessary (Fig. 8). An under-exposed chapter is one of the many mistakes made when putting on / taking off / removing personal protective equipment (PPE) [62]. It is almost impossible to carry out donation and removal protocols correctly without training. Wearing a beard under a mask is a common mistake. Keeping gloves on between surgeries or touching clean surfaces with soiled gloves or touching the surface of a mask with the hands explain why PPE does not figure prominently in the hierarchy of measures.

either. It should be emphasised that hands must be washed or disinfected (alcohol > 70%) before and after wearing gloves. This is because the gloves are not completely sealed. The penetration of the virus was demonstrated in 8-14% of the sterile gloves examined. Sterile gloves must also be changed every hour during critical medical procedures. The three reasons for regularly changing gloves are lack of complete occlusion, damage to the gloves by sharp objects (instruments) and contamination of the hands when removing the gloves. Sciensano reports that it is necessary to wear professional clothing, including shoes. As aerosols can precipitate on clothing, contact contamination is possible afterwards. To avoid this, one of the following choices is recommended (Sciensano) [38]:

- Wear a disposable long-sleeved apron and replace it between patients.
- Wear a long-sleeved overshirt made of washable fabric and replace it between each patient, and wash it at 60°C before the next use. Waterproof aprons are ideally made of polypropylene with a density of at least 25g/m².
- Wear a washable short-sleeved fabric apron and wash the forearms between each patient.
- The aerosol-infected apron should be removed before leaving the treatment area and placed in the appropriate garbage bag or dish basket. It makes sense to wear a surgical cap or headgear. Overshoes are not useful. Wearing professional silver-impregnated aprons makes no sense because silver usually disappears after about ten washes along with the supposed protection [52].

For patients who are known to be COVID positive and need urgent treatment, long-sleeved splash aprons and washable shoes should be worn. The existing guidelines of the High Council of Health (Publication no. 8363) concerning the wearing of nasal masks, corrective glasses, splash goggles and face shields remain unchanged, with the difference that in addition to tuberculosis, COVID-19 can now be added [51]. The masks stop the drops that carry the virus [53,54]. An FFP-2 mask must be CE-certified and must not have an exhaust valve, as the health care provider is then protected, but not the patient (Fig. 3) . Mouth masks are exorbitantly expensive in corona time: about 55 cents for a surgical mouth mask and about 10 euros for an FFP-2 mask. Theoretically, FFP3 masks offer better protection than FFP-2 masks with regard to COVID-19, but in practice FFP2 masks will suffice, also in the hospital sector. FFP stands for Filtering Facepiece Piece (filtering particle of the mask). FFP-2 is the European (EU) standard and the equivalent of the N95 nasal mask certified by the US National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). An FFP mask has the disadvantage of causing pressure ulcers at pressure points and a common complaint is that it is difficult to breathe or work when worn for long periods of time (Fig. 4) [55]. In practice, this means that a dentist wears an FFP-2 mask under the surgical mask and behind the face shield or glasses during every aerosol-generating procedure, or will wear the FFP-2 mask only if he or she considers himself or herself to be one of the groups at risk of suffering from a potentially severe form of COVID-19 and therefore wishes to have additional protection during aerosol-generating treatments in the absence of PCR screening or vaccination (Fig. 5, Fig. 6). The usefulness of surgical masks and FFP-2 masks is lost if they get wet or are poorly fitted. A face shield or face mask can reduce short-term exposure to large infectious particles and thus reduce contamination of nasal mouth masks, but after 1-30 minutes, the total reduction in contamination is only ± 23% according to some publications [56].
4. INSTRUMENTS

With regard to dental instruments, no changes have been made to the policy described in policy document No. 8363 of the High Council of Health in 2011. The 1957 E.H. Spaulding risk categories continue to stand the test of time, even in coronary periods [63]. To grind bite plates and other tips on the chair, simply immerse them in 3% hydrogen peroxide for 30-60 seconds before sharpening. It is also recommended not to allow unused instruments in the splash area or aerosol area to prevent contamination.

5. ARCHITECTURAL INNOVATION

There is no doubt that the new surgeries to be created offer great opportunities for architectural innovation, as most oral and dental surgeries are not equipped for aerosol and ventilation control (Fig. 9) [64]. Several options exist to improve ventilation, air quality and air disinfection in dental practice, apart from other architectural interventions influencing patient flows:
- The treatment cabinets could be designed to obtain negative pressure by extracting more air than it enters
- Good natural ventilation of the practice
- Air changes of at least 6 to 12 changes per hour
- Ventilation ducts that do not lead to another room where people are sitting
- Control of air inlets and outlets
- Installation of HEPA filters
- Working with several practices that allow for a time interval between practices
- Conversion of a parking space into a workspace for waiting and recharging electric cars
- Good connectivity between the practice and the car park with the necessary remote surveillance and, if necessary, automatic license plate recognition at the car park entrance or in the outside waiting area.
- The necessary facilities for maximum digitisation of the practice
- If waiting rooms are still provided, taking into account the rules of physical distance
- Concept allowing gateways with minimal contact
- Use of non-porous work surfaces and sinks impregnated with a homogeneous distribution of copper oxide (Cu-O). Copper is bactericidal and virucidal.

In dental practices, closed shelves are preferred to open shelves because open ones can be contaminated by aerosols. Innovation will also be needed in the treatment unit to allow for quick and proper cleaning between patients:
- Swivel arms should be avoided as much as possible.
- Redesign of drill pipes, air syringe, suction for cleanability and disinfection.
- Screens must be hidden without cables
- The spit bowl should be redesigned to be extremely easy to clean and replace
- Automated pipe flushing.

It is not inconceivable that accreditation will be required in the long term for surgery rooms. This concept will have to take into account the existing ISO standards for clean rooms in order to keep pace with current regulations. ISO standards do not determine the number of air changes, but rather the maximum number of particles that can be found in the air in the room in question per cubic metre. This can be done by ventilation, filtration or both. Again, it is possible to differentiate between orthodontic practices with many children where transmission problems (for COVID-19) have less consequences and less aerosol production compared to general dental practices where the practitioner and 28 patient are almost always connected at a short distance by an aerosol spray during intra-oral healing procedures.

6. CONCLUSION

The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted aerosol transmission in the chain of infection. Using the NIOHS pyramid of interventions a systematic approach can be utilized to combat aerosol transmission in dental practice. The development of new practices to be implemented is an excellent opportunity for architectural renewal. In addition, COVID-19 provides an impetus towards the development of new technologies that generate less aerosols and towards new concepts to facilitate the disinfection of dental facilities and practices. Extensive digitisation of the dental practice was a trend that is now becoming a necessity. Universities offer good education and training in PPE. Professional associations will be needed to maintain economically viable dental practices in the health care field, accessible to all social strata of the population.
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Questions

1. An operating room under negative pressure: which of the following is a correct statement?
   - a. Has no plenum;
   - b. Needs no HEPA-filtration;
   - c. Is the de facto standard in hospitals with COVID-19 wards;
   - d. Is the standard when operating on COVID-19 patient.

2. Which of following is not true or applicable when discussing humidity in a dental practice:
   - a. Humidity in a dental practice comes from the aerosols created during dental treatments;
   - b. Humidity in a dental practice comes from the autoclave;
   - c. The added value of a stand-alone dehumidifier is only present if enough regular natural (windows) or mechanical (ventilation devices) ventilation takes place;
   - d. The added value of a stand-alone dehumidifier is limited or even non-existent if enough regular natural (windows) or mechanical (ventilation devices) ventilation takes place.

3. Which of the following parameters does NOT fit the following statement: "Natural ventilation by opening windows can achieve up to 10 air changes per hour, but depends on a number of important parameters such as:"
   - a. Outside temperature;
   - b. Circadian rhythm;
   - c. Window type;
   - d. Surface area.

4. PAPR (Powered Air-Purifying Respirator): which of the following statements is unjustified
   - a. Is synonymous for a FFP3 mask;
   - b. Is a breathing apparatus in the form of a bonnet or full face mask with a battery-operated fan that conducts a positive air flow through a filter to the bonnet;
   - c. Has a filter with the equivalent of a HEPA filter that retains 99.7% of the particles in 0.3 μm;
   - d. Carries a high risk of infection for the dentist when treating Covid-19 patients if this PAPR is taken off.